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Abstract

A molecular study was conducted for the period from August 2018 till March 2019. This study included the collection of 60 samples of human and animal hydatid cysts (sheep and Cattles), human samples collected from Al-Diwaniyah hospital. Whereas the animal samples were collected from Al- Najaf and Al-Diwaniyah provinces abattoirs. DNA was extracted from the germinal layer of cysts (for humans, sheep and cows) as well as from the protoscolices of fertile cystic fluid. The PCR series reaction technique was performed using touch down for the multiplication of the Cox1 gene. Molecular examination showed that DNA extracted from protoscolices was better than DNA extracted from the germinal layer when PCR genes were amplified. The gene sequencer method and the phylogenetic tree analysis were conducted for nine PCR samples consisting of three samples for everyone humans, cows and sheep. The results showed that there are three strains in Iraq: sheep strain (G1), cattle strain (G3) and camel strain (G6). The current study reveals the cattle strain (G3) It was the most present and distributed by 66.6% and this proves that they are most closely related associated with human, sheep and cattle infections.
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Introduction

Hydatid disease, known as hydatidosis or Echinococcosis, is a common human-zoonotic disease that arises as a result of the eggs of Echinococcus granulosus in feces of dogs (as final hosts) and delivered to the external environment where contaminated of water, vegetables, fruits, etc., it’s endemic disease in all continents of the world except in Antarctica ¹ and ². Hydatid cysts have been known since ancient times, and are considered serious epidemic diseases that are harmful to human health and economically ³ and ⁴. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the disease causes 19,300 deaths globally each year, more than one million people affected by the disease and livestock losses. ⁵ and ⁴. The disease is prevalent in livestock husbandry areas and carnivores in close contact with humans that help to complete the life cycle of the parasite causing the disease ⁶. The parasite needs two hosts to complete its life cycle. The first host includes carnivores and represents the definitive host, the second host includes the herbivores and represents the intermediate host, the human is an accidental intermediate host does not contribute to the perpetuation of the life cycle of the parasite and infected in several ways, including food and drink contaminated with parasite eggs or direct contact with infected dogs ⁷. The disease infected and affects many organs in the human body and the others intermediate host and the most vulnerable organs are liver and lung followed by spleen, kidney, heart, brain, spinal cord, urethra, uterus, fallopian tube, pancreas, mesenteric membrane, muscles and other organs, and brain infection is more common in children ⁸. In Iraq, cystic echinococcosis one of the
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main health concerns. It is an endemic disease and a major health problem in the country where it is known as Iraqi cancer. Molecular detection techniques is a modern and sensitive method used to determine the type and strain of the E. granulosus parasite. Molecular studies indicated that there are 10 distinct genotypes of the parasite. Genotypes or strains were termed G (G1), based on the analysis of the sequence of mitochondrial cytochrome Cox1 genes. The E. granulosus strains were divided into several groups based on the sequence of mitochondrial genes, including the sheep strains E. granulosus suissusstricto, which includes genotypes (G1-G3), horse strains Echinococcus equinus (G4), the Camel strains Echinococcus canadensis (G6) and the pig strains G7 (G10-G6) and the elk strain G9 (G8 and G10), and the elk strain G9 is currently classified as G7.

Materials and Method

Samples Collection and Preparation of Hydatid Cysts: The present study included collection of 60 samples of human and animal hydatid cysts (sheep and cows), human samples collected from Al-Diwaniyah hospital. Whereas the animal samples were collected from Al- Najaf and Al-Diwniya provinces abattoirs from August 2018 till March 2019. The hydatid cysts were isolated from slaughtered cattle and sheep and placed in an ice-cooled container and transferred to the Advanced Parasitology Laboratory at the College of Science for Women/University of Babylon, the samples had been washed directly with water to remove dirt and blood on them. Firstly the surface of the hydatid cyst is sterilized with 70% ethanol. Sterile medical syringes of 10 ml were used to remove the cyst fluid and the collection of protoscolices were performed under sterile conditions.

The cyst fluid was withdrawn with the protoscolices and placed in a beaker 250 ml. Germinal layer was extracted and placed in a sterile petridish containing a physiological saline solution(0.9%) and then washed with a washing bottle containing saline phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and several times to extract the largest number of protoscolices, and later collected in sterile test tubes and centrifuged three times Speed of 3000 rev/min for 15 minutes each time. 70% ethyl alcohol was added to these tubes for preservation with pieces of the germinal layer and subsequently used in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and genetic sequencing to detect dominant parasite strains.

PCR Technique: PCR technique was performed for in detection and genotyping of Echinococcus granulosus hydatid cyst based on mitochondrial Cox1 gene in isolates. This technique was carried out according to method described by [14] as following steps:

Genomic DNA Extraction: Genomic DNA from hydatid cyst fluid samples were extracted by using gSYAN DNA Extraction KitGeneaid.

Genomic DNA Examination: The extracted blood genomic DNA was checked by using Nanodrop spectrophotometer (THERMO. USA), which measured DNA concentration (ng/µL) and check the DNA purity by reading the absorbance at (260/280 nm) as following steps:

1. After opening up the Nanodrop software, chosen the appropriate application (Nucleic acid, DNA).
2. A dry wipe was taken and cleaned the measurement pedestals several times. Then carefully pipette 2μl of free nuclease water onto the surface of the lower measurement pedestals for blank the system.
3. The sampling arm was lowered and clicking OK to initialized the Nanodrop, then cleaning off the pedestals and 1μl of blood genomic DNA was added to measurement. PCR master mix was prepared by using (Maxime PCR PreMix Kit) and this master mix done according to company instructions. After that, these PCR master mix component that mentioned in table above placed in standard PCR PreMix Kit that containing all other components which needed to PCR reaction such as (Taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs, Tris-HCl pH: 9.0, KCl, MgCl₂, stabilizer, and tracking dye). Then, all the PCR tubes transferred into Exispin vortex centrifuge at 3000rpm for three minutes. Then placed in PCR Thermocycler (BioRad.USA).

PCR Product Analysis: The PCR products of mitochondrial genes were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis following steps:

1. 1% Agarose gel was prepared in using 1X TBE and dissolving in water bath at 100 °C for 15 minutes, after that, left to cool 50°C.
2. Then 3µl of ethidium bromide stain were added into agarose gel solution.
3. Agarose gel solution was poured in tray after fixed the comb in proper position after that, left to
solidified for 15 minutes at room temperature, then the comb was removed gently from the tray and 10µl of PCR product were added in to each comb well and 5ul of (100bp Ladder) in one well.

4. The gel tray was fixed in electrophoresis chamber and fill by 1X TBE buffer. Then electric current was performed at 100 volt and 80 AM for 1hour.

5. PCR products (450bp) cox1 gene was visualized by using UV transilluminator.

**DNA Sequencer Method:** The process of DNA sequencing to identify strains of some positive *E.granulosus* isolates is carried out according to the following steps:

1. The PCR product of the cox1 genes was sent to Macrogenin South Korea in refrigerated container by DHL courier for DNA sequencing by AB DNA sequencing system.

2. DNA sequence analysis (Phylogenetic tree analysis) using molecular genetic analysis, and Mega 6.0 software programmed and sequential alignment analysis based on convergence analysis.

Evolutionary distances were calculated using the maximum probability method and utilizing the UPGMA tool tree method.

3. Analysis of strains identification by analysis of genetic trees between local *E.granulosus* isolates and known *E.granulosus* isolates at NCBI-Blast

4. Finally, the *E.granulosus* isolates identified in NCBI-GenBank were provided for the GenBank registration number.

**Results and Discussion**

**Results of the Polymerase Chain Reaction Technique for Cox1 Gene:** The results of PCR technique showed the success of all amplification of extracted DNA from the protoscolices and the germinal layer of Cox(1) mitochondrial gene whereas the results were positive for all samples of human cysts (1, 2 and 3) and sheep (4, 5 and 6) and cows (7, 8 and 9) after conducting the gel agarose electrophoresis, which showed the presence of the diagnostic gene of the mitochondria Cox (1) of *E.granulosus* at a molecular weight of 450 bp as in Figure (4-1) and this is consistent with many studies such as [15] and [16].

![Agarose gel electrophoresis image that showed the PCR product analysis of mitochondrial cytochrome (COX1) gene in Echinococcus granulosus hydatid cysts isolated from Human, Sheep and Cattle. Where M: marker (2000-100bp), lane (1-3) Human hydatid cysts isolates, lane (4-6) Sheep hydatid cysts isolates, and lane (1-3) cattle hydatid cysts isolates, positive cox1 gene at (450bp) PCR product size. NC : non template negative control.](image-url)
Results of DNA Sequencing Technique and Genetic Tree Analysis

Result of E. granulosus Genotype: Three random samples were selected for humans, sheep and cows because of the high physical cost of DNA sequencing. The selected samples were sent to Macrogen company in South Korea for the purpose of determining the DNA sequence of the cox1 mitochondrial gene, which was used to determine the position of \( E.\) granulosus .

The phylogenetic tree was written using the evolution distance, which was measured using the Composite Likelihood Maximum method (UPGMA tree) in MEGA 6.0 version.

Human: The results of the DNA sequence of human cysts indicate that sheep (G1) and buffalo (G3) may be responsible for human infections in Al-Najaf and Al-Diwaniyah governorates, where the strain (G1) was found in 33.33% of samples and identical rates 96%. With the comparable sample in the genebank under the symbol KP161207.1 from Africa, and the cattle strain (G3) in 66.66% of the samples with an identical rates ranging from 99% to 100% when comparable sample in the genebank with accession number M84663.1.

The isolates were recorded in GenBank with the following accession number: MN514880, MN514881 and MN514882

Sheep: The results of the PCR phylogenetic analysis of three samples of hydatid cysts of infected sheep indicate that sheep strain (G1) with a percentage of 96% with the standard sample compared to them in the genebank, accession number M84663.1 from Turkey, and the cattle (G3) with identical rate 99% the standard specimen comparing them in the genebank with accession number KP161207.1 from Africa and the camel strain (G6) with identical rate 100%, the comparable specimens that were compared in the genebank with accession number JF964263 from Iran is responsible for infection in the isolates samples in the present study in genebank were recorded in accession number MN514883, MN514884 and MN514885

Cattle: The results of PCR phylogenetic analysis of three samples of hydatid cysts of infected cows, indicate that cattle (G3) strain may be responsible for cattle infections in Al- Najaf and Al-Diwaniyah governorates with a prevalence rates (100%) and identical rates (100%) and (99%) with the comparable sample. That have accession number in NCBI is M84663.1 for Turkey strains in the gene bank. The results of PCR phylogenetic analysis of three samples of hydatid cysts of infected cows, indicate that cattle (G3) strain may be responsible for cattle infections in Al- Najaf and Al-Diwaniyah governorates with a prevalence rates (100%) and identical rates (100%) and (99%) conformity with the comparable standard sample. That have accession number in the genebank under the code M8466.1 from Turkey, isolates samples in the present study were recorded in GenBank with the following numbers MN514886, MN514887, and MN514888, as shown in Table (1) and (2).

Relation of the Current Study Strains to Some Other Strains in Iraq and Nearby Countries: The genetic tree of human, sheep and cattle isolates was written using the development distance, which was measured using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method (UPGMA tree) in programmed software (Version 6.0 MEGA). Human, sheep and cattle isolates were compared with some other isolates.
recorded in NCBI-BLAST. The isolates of humans (1) and sheep (3) showed the closest similarity to the isolates of African *E. granulosus* belonging to the strain (G1) numbered KP161207.1) recorded in NCBI-BLAST, and showed the isolates of humans (3,2) and sheep (1) and cattle (1,2,3). The closest similarity in the isolates of the Turkish *E. granulosus* belonging to the cattle strain (G3) numbered M84663.1), and the isolation of sheep (2) showed the closest similarity to the isolates of Iranian *E. granulosus* belonging to the camels strain (G6 numbered JF964263) recorded in NCBI-BLAST.

Figure 3: Phylogenetic tree analysis based on the mitochondrial Cox1 gene partial sequence that used for *Echinococcus granulosus* typing detection.

Table 2: NCBI -BLAST Homology sequence identity between local Echinococcus granulosus Human, Sheep, and cattle isolates with NCBI-BLAST Echinococcus granulosus related Genotypes isolates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local isolate</th>
<th>Gene bank submission accession number</th>
<th>NCBI-BLAST Homology Sequence identity</th>
<th>NCBI BLAST identity isolate</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Accession Number</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Identity (100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Human isolate No.1</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq1 MN514880</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 1</td>
<td>KP161207.1</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Human isolate No.2</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq2 MN514881</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Human isolate No.3</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq3 MN514882</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Sheep isolate No.1</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq4 MN514883</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Sheep isolate No.2</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq5 MN514884</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 6</td>
<td>JF964263</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Sheep isolate No.3</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq6 MN514885</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 1</td>
<td>KP161207.1</td>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Cattle isolate No.1</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq7 MN514886</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Cattle isolate No.2</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq8 MN514887</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>local <em>E. granulosus</em> Cattle isolate No.3</td>
<td>Bankit2266832 Seq9 MN514888</td>
<td>Echinococcus granulosus</td>
<td>Genotype 3</td>
<td>M84663.1</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Distribution and frequency of genotypes detected in the present study and their percentages from NCBI information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples Type</th>
<th>Genotype 1 (G1)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Genotype 3 (G3)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Genotype 6 (G6)</th>
<th>(%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cows</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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