

Stress Perception and Stress Response against Internet Psychological Addiction (IPA) on Adolescents (Psychoneuroimmunology Approach)

Siti Nurul Fajriah¹, Suhartono Taat Putra², Yusti Probowati³, Rika Subarniati T.⁴

¹Student, Faculty of Public Health, Airlangga University / Lecturer, Department of Physiotherapy, Health Polytechnic of Makassar, ²Professor, Faculty of Medicine, Airlangga University, ³Professor, Faculty of Psychology, Surabaya State University, ⁴Professor, Faculty of Public Health, Airlangga University

Abstract

Background: Phenomenon of IPA in long-term effect is dominated by negative effect that has risk in undergoing stress. Stress perception will activate the change of physiology system in the body in form of stress response through activating *Hypothalamic-Pituitary Adrenocortical* (HPA) that will increase cortisol production. **Purpose:** investigate how the correlation between stress perception and stress response against IPA for adolescents based on psychoneuroimmunology (PNI) concept. **Method:** This research used cross-sectional design. There were 39 Senior High School students, who were indicated to undergo internet psychological addiction and they were as the sample of this research. **Result:** There were 39 students in six Senior High Schools in Makassar, Indonesia, who were identified to undergo IPA. Stress perception in most of adolescents who underwent IPA was high (61.5%), particularly for adolescent who underwent high internet addiction, and so as the stress response on IPA, which was also high (53.8%), which described health quality for IPA. **Conclusion:** there was a significant correlation between stress perception and stress response on adolescent who underwent IPA based on psychoneuroimmunology approach.

Keywords: internet psychological addiction, adolescents, psychoneuroimmunology, stress perception, stress response

Introduction

Psychoneuroimmunology is an integrated concept regarding function of immune regulation for defending homeostasis. PNI concept views on the correlation among stress, immune system, and health. Stress is consisted of stress-perception and stress response¹. Stressor is a cause for stress-perception and stress response². Ader in Putra³ showed that regulation of immune system or immunoregulation, which firstly was believed as autonomous process, was proven to be influenced by nervous system performance through learning proses that was occurred in central nervous system. Thus, it was proven unautonomous³.

Limitation of PNI according to Ader-Cohen in Putra³, related with beviour-neuroendocrine-immune system. Definition of stress that was stated was closer with Selye in Putra³ and it was as non-specific response of the body to any demand with biological concept. However,

definition of stress in PNI also used Eric Linderman-Gerald Caplan concept as psychological state involving cognition and emotion, which gave limitation of stress concept in psychological side, whether, psychic stress was defined as cognition that was learning process result or stress perception which could be observed based on modulation of immune response (stress response)³.

The criteria of IPA are: excessive using internet (>6 months); making internet as a dominant activity (salience); undergoing internal and external conflict; appearing euphoria while being able to access internet (mood modification); increasing needs to access internet (tolerance), uncomfortable feeling when the use of internet was limited (withdrawal)⁴⁻⁷.

Phenomenon of IPA on adolescents has become global epidemic. Research result regarding IPA in long-term effect is dominated by negative effects which have risk to undergo depression, anxiety, aggressive behavior,

social isolation, psychiatric symptoms, interpersonal problems and stress⁸⁻¹⁰. Even, negative effect of IPA is as dangerous as alcohol and narcotics addiction^{11,12}

Phenomenon of internet addiction will influence other activities and this will impact to adolescent's physical, cognitive, socio-emotional, and spiritual development disorders that contribute to personal distress (dangerous and destructive stress)^{13,14}

According to conducted observation, it was found low productivity on adolescent who underwent IPA in Makassar. Interview result with students in several Senior High Schools and IPTEK-KOM research¹⁵ showed that they used internet excessively and they made the internet as dominant activity in daily life (Salience) until their time was wasting more only for accessing internet which was not for learning process.

Nevertheless, if the internet was used for searching information for completing homeworks or school tasks, the advantages of internet itself could raise enthusiasm for learning process (eustress), but if it was used excessively, it could cause distress. In other words, internet could cause the students did not want to learn anymore because they could not concentrate well, they were easy to forget (low memory), difficult to understand, and as the impact, they could have poor score at school, and also could have poor sleep quality. This would impact to their learning achievements at school, which caused high academic stress¹⁶.

Internet could not be separated from adolescent's life. Almost all their needs could be fulfilled by internet¹⁷. There were pleasant experiences while accessing internet (mood modification) that was triggered by dopamine spending which caused them would repeat the experience until their time intensity that was needed to access the internet added (tolerance). Although the time intensity to access the internet was controlled, they would try to repeat it with longer intensity (relapse) because they had felt pleasant experience before. However, when they was hindered to access internet, they would feel unpleasant such as annoying, angry, and restless (withdrawal). When the internet had dominated life activity, it would cause imbalance among biological, psychological, and social needs, thus, it would cause conflict either internal or external conflict, even, it could cause aggressiveness to the adolescents¹⁸.

Adolescent's characteristic which was in identity crisis stage, tended to have high curiosity^{19,20} and this

was really supported by socio-cultural condition in urban area that facilitated ease to access internet in several public facilities. Besides, it was also supported by booming smartphone market. Even, rapid information and telecommunication systems development also had the potency to facilitate the occurrence of IPA.

In addition, referring to psychoneuroimmunology paradigm, the stressor (impact of internet addiction on physical, cognitive, socioemotional and spiritual development aspects) would be responded by brain cognitively in stress perception (how coping or adolescent's self-adjustment cognitively and behavior in facing the impact of internet addiction). As we know that, stress perception is an ability to understand or conceptualize accepted stressor that results a cognition (understanding) which can cause stress response in modulation of immune response³. A condition that is stated as stressor by the brain will cause a stress and the change of physiological, psychological, and behavioral aspects.

Lazarus & Cohen assumed that stress involved transaction between the individual and other worlds from him/herself²¹. Primary appraisal is individual first assessment against the occurrences either in positive side, negative side, or netral side which can cause stress (such as incomplete homework due to they are too busy to access internet, school task is assumed as difficult thing that can cause stress). Secondary appraisal is an assessment against resources or self-ability in confronting an occurrence or intimidation. The adolescents will respond stress in different way, they will search information directly, they will not do nothing or will use their owned coping style as their defense efforts.

Perception process is consisted of selection, organization, and stimulus interpretations which are accepted by human sense until they become meaningful things²². Every individual will perceive a stress differently. If it is valued as negative thing by repeating the behavior without trying to correct it well, it will cause a problem for them.

Then, stress perception will activate the change of physiological systems in stress response through activating HPA that can increase production of cortisol. Hence, it impacts to development of health problem. In long-term effect, cortisol can cause the decrease of immune function and nerve damage in hippocampus. This change will increase infection, psychiatric problem,

and loss of concentration and memory²³.

This reseach was conducted in order to investigate how the correlation between stress perception and stress response against IPA on adolescent based on psychoneuroimmunology concept.

Method

This research utilized cross-sectional design. Locations of sample collection in this research were in six Senior High Schools in Makassar from August 2018 until February 2019. The population was all of twelfth grade students in Senior High School who were detected to undergo internet psychological addiction and there were 244 students. The sample size was 39, selected by purposive sampling. Data were collected using IPA questionnaire²⁵, serum cortisol levels measurement (Elisa) and stress perception questionnaire. Afterwards, the research result was analyzed by using Chi-square in order to observe the comparison among variables, then, it was conducted correlation analysis in order to investigate the correlation between stress perception and stress response.

Findings

IPA level on most of adolescents was high (Figure-1).



Figure-1. Distribution of IPA Level

The most of stress perception level was high (Figure-2).



Figure-2. Distribution of Stress Perception Level

Table 1 showed that there was no significant difference between stress perception score and IPA (p=0.097).

Table 1. Comparison of Stress Perception Score based on IPA Level

IPA	Stress Perception Score			p-value
	Mean	SD	Median	
High	55.10	2.83	55	0.097
Low	53.26	3.88	53	

The Stress Response Level on most of adolescents was high (Figure-3).

Figure-2. Distribution of Stress Response Level

Table 2 showed that there was significant difference between stress response and IPA (p=0.000) and significant difference between stress response and stress perception (p=0.016).

Table 2. Comparison of Stress Response based on IPA Level and Stress Perception

IPA	Stress Response Score			p-value
	Mean	SD	Median	
High	23.98	1.29	21.93	0.000
Low	12.20	4.01	11.60	
Stress Perception				
High	21.54	1.26	16.29	0.016
Low	12.97	5.80	11.60	

Discussion

Stress Perception

Most of stress perception on IPA was high. This condition was occurred more on female adolescents who accessed social network by using cellphone. Although it was not proven that there was a significant correlation between stress perception and respondent's characteristic, the activity of accessing internet since in early age was used for accessing others which did not have any advantages for learning process.

Adolescents were in identity crisis stage. They tended to have high curiosity and they were easy to be influenced by their peer friends²⁰. The adolescents

tended to have high confidence to access internet, but unstable, thus, they had not been able to select useful internet activities.

Phenomenon of internet addiction would influence other activities that impacted to imbalance in fulfilling other needs and contributing to personal distress or dangerous stress and could damage the adolescent development in the future^{13,14}.

Several researchers proved that internet addiction in long-term effect was dominated by negative impacts, such as depression, aggressive behavior, mental disorders, and stress²⁵⁻²⁹. In psychoneuroimmunology concept, stress is divided by two kinds, which are eustress and distress³. Eustress is stress that is needed to advance but if it is excessive, it will be distress.

Stress Response

Most of stress response on adolescent was high. The stress condition on IPA gave description that cortisol, product from hormonal reaction of the body due to stress, had spread into the body. Regulation of cortisol secretion was controlled by three organs, which were hypothalamus secreted Corticotrophin Releasing Hormone which would stimulate the anterior pituitary gland to secrete Adrenocorticotrophic Releasing Hormone which afterwards, it would stimulate adrenal cortex to secrete cortisol. Cortisol had important role in metabolism of protein and fat through increasing gluconeogenesis and it also had role in the process of adaptation against stress. In gluconeogenesis, it was occurred the increase of glucose secretion in the liver and changes in non-carbohydrate sources (amino acids) to be carbohydrates. Cortisol also caused lipolysis, thus, releasing free fatty acid increased, and it would be occurred centripetal fat deposits³⁰. Other functions of cortisol were regulating arteriolar tone and maintaining blood pressure (stimulating angiotensin II secretion), increasing glomerular filtration rate, water excretion, potassium excretion, sodium retention, and suppressing calcium uptake in renal tubules and intestines. This condition was caused by significant permissive effect of cortisol against other hormone activities. Epinephrine was one of hormone types which its activity was influenced by hormone level of cortisol. Moreover, cortisol must be in sufficient quantities for epinephrine in order to cause vasoconstriction. In stress condition, human body would increase epinephrine secretion until 300 times greater from normal level and it was depended

on type and intensity of stress stimulus³⁰. Cortisol increased epinephrine activity, thus, it was occurred the increase of heart rate and blood pressure.

The role of cortisol in helping the body to overcome anxiety or stress, and it was estimated that it related with its metabolic effect. Cortisol had metabolic effect which was increasing concentration of blood glucose by using protein and fat from the body. A logical assumption stated that the increase of glucose, amino acids, and fatty acids supply was available to be used when it was needed³⁰.

Correlation between Stress Perception and Stress Response

Stress perception in overcoming the impact of internet psychological addiction that was occurred would be responded by Hypothalamus-Pituitary-Adrenalin (HPA-axis), hence, it caused the cortisol level increased. If stressor that was accepted by hypothalamus was strong, the CRF that was secreted would increase more and more. Thus, stimulation that was accepted by pituitary also increased and cortisol secretion by the adrenal gland also increased. If the emotional condition had been stable, coping mechanism became positive, signal in the brain would hinder the release of CRF³¹⁻³². Due to stress, cortisol secretion could increase until 20 times greater. Stress was main factor in causing relapse in all addictions³³.

The use of internet excessively on IPA chronically would cause change of sensitivity in nervous system. Adolescents who were in condition of negative emotion or anxiety would increase cortisol level in the blood. Almost each response in the body, such as stress, could increase cortisol even in 20 times greater. The ability in regulating stressor until resulting different perception was really depended on their condition and perception against accepted stress³⁴. If their stress coping was good although there was stressor, the susceptibility against health problem could be reduced³⁵.

Conclusion

When the adolescents who underwent IPA was in stress condition, they could result perception against stress that would stimulate HPA axis, thus, CRF secretion by hypothalamus would increase. Then, it would be followed by the increase of ACTH by pituitary and the increase of cortisol secretion by adrenal gland.

Conflict of Interest- No

Source of Funding-Authors

Ethical Clearance-Yes

References

1. Dhabbar FS, McEwen BS. Enhancing Versus Suppressive Effects of Stress Hormones on Skin Immune Function. *Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences USA*. 1999;96: 1059-1064.
2. Dhabbar FS, McEwen BS. Bidirectional Effects on Stress and Glucocorticoid Hormones on Immune Function Explanation for Paradoxical Observations in Psychoneuroimmunology. Ader R, Felten DL, Cohen N (Eds). 1999;1:301-338.
3. Putra ST. *Medical Psychoneuroimmunology*. Surabaya: Airlangga-University-Press; 2011.
4. Van Rooij AJ, Prause N. A critical review of “internet addiction” criteria with suggestions for the future. *J.Behav.Addict*. 2014;3(4):203–213.
5. Block JJ. Issues for DSM-V: Internet addiction. *Am.J.Psychiatry*. 2008;165(3):306–307.
6. Çiçekoğlu P, Durualp E, Durualp E. *European Journal of Research on Education Evaluation of the level of internet addiction among 6 th -8 th grade adolescents in terms of various variables*. *Eur.J.Res. Educ*. 2014;2013(Special Issue):22–28.
7. Griffiths M. Does Internet and Computer “Addiction” Exist? Some Case Study Evidence. *Cyber.Psychology.Behav*. 2002;3(2):211–218.
8. Seo M, Kang HS, Yom YH. Internet addiction and interpersonal problems in Korean Adolescents. *CIN-Comput.Informatics Nurs*. 2009;27(4):226–233.
9. Wee CY, Zhao Z, Yap PT, Wu G, Shi F, Price T, et al. Disrupted brain functional network in internet addiction disorder: A resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *PLoS-One*. 2014;9(9):1–11.
10. Ho RC, Zhang MWB, Tsang TY, Toh AH, Pan F, Lu Y, et al. The association between internet addiction and psychiatric co-morbidity: A meta-analysis. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14(1).
11. Lin F, Zhou Y, Du Y, Qin L, Zhao Z, Xu J, et al. Abnormal white matter integrity in adolescents with internet addiction disorder: A tract-based spatial statistics study. *PLoS-One*. 2012;7(1):2346.
12. Strickland JC, Wagner FP, Stoops WW, Rush CR. Profile of internet access in active cocaine users. *Am.J.Addict*. 2015;24(7):582–585.
13. Koh YS. Development and application of K-Scale as diagnostic scale for Korean Internet addiction, in 2007, *International Symposium on the Counseling and Treatment of Youth Internet Addiction*. Seoul: National Youth Commission; 2007.
14. Young KS. Internet addiction: The emergence of a new clinical disorder. *Cyber.Psychology.Behav*. 1998;1:237–244.
15. Juditha C. Relationship between Facebook Social Networking Site Users and Youth Behavior in Makassar City. *J.Penelit.IPTEK-KOM*. 2011;13(Juni):2–3.
16. Kasim MI, Murdiana S, Fakhri N. Effects of Academic Stress and Internet Addiction with Sleep Quality on Students in Makassar City. *Pros-Semin-Nas*. 2018.
17. Lepp A, Barkley JE, Karpinski AC. The relationship between cell phone use, academic performance, anxiety, and Satisfaction with Life in college students. *Comput.Human.Behav*. 2014;31(1):343–350.
18. Afiah N. Personality and Aggressiveness in Various Cultures. *Bul.Psikol*. 2015;23(1):13.
19. Monks FJ, Knoers AMP, Haditomo SR. *Developmental Psychology: Introduction in various sections*. Yogyakarta: Gajah-Mada-University-Press; 1991.
20. Sarwono SW. *Adolescent Psychology*. Jakarta: Rajagrafindo-Persada; 2013.
21. Ogden J. *Health Psychology*. England: Mac.Graw-Hill; 2007.
22. Weiten W. *Psychology. Themes & Variations*. Belmont-CA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning; 2010.
23. Probowati Y, Wahyuningsih S. *Health Psychology and Psychoneuroimmunology: Its Application in Drug Cases, Overcoming Drug Problems with Compassion*. Jakarta: Gramedia-Pustaka-Utama; 2011.
24. Fajriah SN. Stress response model in internet addiction teens in Makassar (a psychoneuroimmunology approach). Surabaya: UNAIR; 2019.
25. Sandres CE, Field TM, Diego M, Kaplan M. The

- relationship of internet use to depression and social isolation among adolescents. *Adolescence*. 2000;35(138):237-242.
26. Seo M, Kang HS, Yom YH. Internet addiction and interpersonal problem in Korean adolescents. *Comput Inform Nurs*. 2009;27:226–233.
 27. Taylor L. The gadget addict generation. *Daily Mail*. 2009;26.
 28. Yen CF, Chou WJ, Liu TL, Yang P, Hu HF. The association of Internet addiction symptoms with anxiety, depression and. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*. 2014;1601-1608.
 29. Wee C, Zhao Z, Yap P, Wu G, Shi F, Price T, Du Y, Xu J, Zhou Y, Shen D. Disrupted brain functional network in internet addiction disorder: A resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *PloS-One*. 2014;9(9):107-306.
 30. Sherwood L. *Human Physiology: From cells to systems*. (BU Pedit, HO Ong, AA Mahode, D Ramadhani, Eds). Jakarta: EGC; 2014.
 31. Beard KW, Wolf EM. Modification in the Proposed Diagnostic Criteria for Internet Addiction. *CyberPsychology & Behaviour*. 2001;4(3).
 32. Akil HA, Morano MI. *Stress in Bloom* FE and Kupfer DJ. *Psychopharmacology*. New York: Raven-Press. 1995;773-779.
 33. Stocker S. *Studies Link Stress and Drug Addiction*. NIDA Research Finding. 2012;14:1-4.
 34. Singh. *Differential Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal-Axis Reactivity to Psychological and Physical Stress*. *Clin.Endo.&Metab*. 1999;84(6):1944-1949
 35. Notoedirdjo M. *Coping and Psychopathology*. Surabaya: FK-Unair; 1998.